UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,670	12/22/2003	Matt Murray	9314-58	7610	
	7590 04/09/200 L SIBLEY & SAJOVE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 37428			PAN, YUWEN		
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/743	3,670	MURRAY, MATT		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		YUWE	N PAN	2618		
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- riod for reply is specified above, the maximum so reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filentials action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition on the pract in accordance with the pract	2b) This action if or allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal ma	·	e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)	-	is/are withdrawn f		on.		
10)∐ Th Ar Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are oplicant may not request that any objected the placement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected the	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(g the correction is red	s) be held in abeya quired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice o 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/743,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/19/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the communication device of Griffin with the active noise cancellation system of Tadter. The examiner respectfully disagrees since one ordinary skill in the art would look for an improvement of noise cancellation or control system that only utilize one bilateral transducer/speaker and corresponding circuit in stead of one speaker and one noise microphone in which would require more occupied space in a limited hands free earphone and increase the cost. Regarding to the depend claims, the examiner believes that the combination of Griffin and Tadter references has either taught and suggested the corresponding limitations. For example, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to integrate Todter's signal processor (see item 22) with Griffin's processor to provide both noise cancellation and conventional speaker and microphone modes.

Based on foregoing reasons, the previous rejection maintains.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-9, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al (US 20040063456A1) in view of Todter et al (WO/94/11953).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,670 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

Per claim 6, Griffin discloses a mobile terminal (see figure 4 and 16) comprising: a housing (see figure 4); a microphone positioned in the housing (see figure 4 and item 14d); a speaker positioned in the housing remote from the microphone (see figure 4 and item 14a): and a multi-mode audio processor circuit (see figure 5 and item 196) configured to apply noise cancellation (item 191) to microphone (190) and background noise microphone (48) inputs thereof, from figure 4 it shows that the speaker(14a) and the background noise microphone (48) is coupled in a very closed vicinity (see paragraph 33 and 56), a speaker (item 14a) with a noise microphone (item 48) and another microphone (14d) for human voice. Griffin does not teach that the speaker comprises a transducer and wherein the multi-mode audio processor circuit is configured to transmit sound from the transducer in a first mode of operation and to generate a composite audio signal from sound energy received by the microphone and the transducer in a second mode of operation. Todter teaches that the speaker (see figure 3 and item 30) comprises a transducer ("bilateral transducer") and wherein the multi-mode audio processor circuit is configured to transmit sound from the transducer in a first mode of operation and to generate a composite audio signal from sound energy received by the microphone and the transducer in a second mode of operation (see page 4 and 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Todter with Griffin's device to implement active noise attenuation systems without the need to use a microphone.

Same arguments apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to claim 12 and 13.

Per claim 8, Griffin further teaches that the processor (196) is configured to generate an audio signal from sound energy received by the microphone (14a) in the first mode of operation (see paragraph 33).

Page 4

Same arguments apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to claim 14.

Per claim 9, Griffin further teaches that the processor in associated with the noise cancellation unit is able to subtract the detected background noise signals from the corrupted speech received by the microphone (see paragraph 33).

Same arguments apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to claim 15.

Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin 4. et al (US 20040063456A1) and Todter et al (WO/94/11953) in view of Warnaka et al (U.S. Patent #5,046,103).

Per claim 10, Griffin doesn't teach an audio amplifier and a preamplifier are enclosed for either modes. Warnaka teaches a noise reducing system for voice microphone comprising an audio amplifier (figure 2 and item 42) in which amplifier audio signal before reaching the speaker (38). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having an audio amplifier for amplifying audio signal to be carried out in speaker. Although neither Griffin nor Warnaka teaches a preamplifier teaches a preamplifier for the microphone, it is inherent that electronic microphone contains a preamplifier, typically a field effect transistor (FET), and voice is converted to electrical signal by capacitance.

Same arguments apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to claim 16.

5. Claim 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al (US 20040063456A1), Todter et al (WO/94/11953) and Warnaka et al (U.S. Patent #5,046,103) as applied to claim10 above, and further in view of Suzuki et al (US005251262A). Combination of Warnka, Todter and Griffin doesn't expressly teach of switching modes between speaker mode and noise cancellation mode. Suzuki teaches switching between speaker mode and noise cancellation mode (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Suzuki with the combination of Warnka and Griffin such that the system has enough time for calculation processing and proper adaptive control processing can be executed (see column 4 and lines 1-14).

Same arguments apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to claim 17.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUWEN PAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,670 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yuwen Pan/ Yuwen Pan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618 April 2, 2008